Interview Summary	Application No. 09/892,872	Applicant(s) Shimoda et al.		
	Examiner B. William Baum	neister	Art Unit 2815	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) B. William Baumeister (Examiner)	(3)			
(2) Richard Kim (Applicant's Representative)	(4)			
Date of Interview Dec 19, 2002	_			
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🔼 No. If yes, br	let descript	ion:	
Claim(s) discussed: all				
Identification of prior art discussed: Smith				
Discussed applicant's invention, the interpretation of the a	amended claims and t	he Examine	er's position.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Miller of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendations of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendations of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.	arate record of the su MAL WRITTEN REPLY PEP section 713.04). ROM THIS INTERVIEW	ents that w bstance of TO THE LA If a reply to ADATE TO	the interview (in the interview (in the interview (in the last Office of the last Office	f box is checked). CTION MUST be action has MENT OF THE
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is		Bh	mbnV	required